

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/395,300	09/13/1999		EDWARD G. TIEDEMANN JR.	PA990073	5802
23696	7590 -	08/25/2003			
Qualcomm Incorporated				EXAMINER	
Patents Depart 5775 Morehou	ise Drive			JAGANNATHAN, MELANIE	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2666	12
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
_	09/395,300	TIEDEMANN, EDWARD G.
' Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Melanie Jagannathan	2666
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		ith the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	3 July 2003 .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	er Εχ <i>paπe Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-31 and 33-43 is/are pending in the	e application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28,34-43</u> is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>29-31 and 33</u> is/are rejected.		·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		disapproved by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in a	_ / /_	isapproved by the Examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 LLS C	& 119(a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gir priority under 55 0.0.0.	3 119(a)-(a) or (i).
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the pr	·	
application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	·	
a) The translation of the foreign language p	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachment(s)	p	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2666

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant has amended claims according to the office action dated 5/21/2003 indicating allowable subject matter of claims 30-32. However, detailed examination reveals claims 30-32, in fact, are not allowable and therefore the finality of the office action dated 5/21/2003 is withdrawn and a new grounds of rejection is presented here forth. Examiner regrets any inconvenience.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 29-31, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asanuma U.S. Patent Number 5,983,113. The claimed CDMA system comprising a first base station configured to transmit a first signal using a first CDMA channelization, a second base station configured to transmit a second signal using a second CDMA channelization and a sync unit configured to receive the first and second signals is disclosed by Asunama where a CDMA system comprising a plurality of mobile stations is configured to send up-link channel signals to a base station. See Figure 1. The claimed determination of a relative phase difference between the two signals is taught in Asunama where the base station senses the phase difference between the orthogonal codes of the up-link channel signals from the mobile stations. See Figure 2, element 31. The claimed second base station being further configured to adjust the phase at

Art Unit: 2666

which the second signal is transmitted based upon the relative phase difference is disclosed by Asunama where the base station creates timing control information for controlling the phase difference, notifies this information to the mobile stations and on the basis of the timing control information sent by the base station, each mobile station adjusts the transmission timing of an up-link channel signal. See Figure 4 and see column 2, lines 19-66. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to arrange for the claimed base stations to be configured to transmit signals as in the mobile stations of Asunama, the claimed sync unit to receive the signals and determine a phase difference as in the base station of Asunama and the claimed second base station to be configured to adjust the phase of its signal based upon the phase difference as in the mobile stations of Asunama adjusting its signals based on the timing control information sent by the base station as in Asunama. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this as it enables high-quality radio communication with less interference between channels by maintaining orthogonality between the up-link channel signals and thereby increasing channel capacity. See column 2, lines 13-18.

Regarding claim 30, the claimed first antenna is disclosed by antenna (Figure 2, element 11) and antenna duplexer (element 12). At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use an antenna to emit the first and second signals. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do that for broadcast signals.

Regarding claim 31, the claimed pilot signal and information signal are disclosed by pilot signal exchanged between mobile stations and base station before start of communication and base station allocates channel to mobile station for CDMA communication. See column 6, lines 62-66 and column 7, lines 19-23. At the time the invention was made it would have been

Art Unit: 2666

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use a pilot signal and an information signal.

One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to do this to set up synchronization between mobile and base station before transmitting of information.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Asanuma discloses a CDMA system comprising a plurality of mobile stations configured to send up-link channel signals to a base station. The base station senses the phase difference between the orthogonal codes of the up-link channel signals from the mobile stations and creates timing control information for controlling the phase difference closer to zero and notifies this information to the mobile stations. On the basis of the timing control information sent by the base station, each mobile station adjusts the transmission timing of an up-link channel signal. See column 2, lines 19-66. However, the prior art of record fails to disclose the determination of signal energies and power levels of the signals transmitted on first and second CDMA channelizations as in claims 1, 11, 21 and 43. Furthermore, the prior art of record fails to disclose the determination of a level of loading based upon the power level of a signal as in claim 21, 34, and 43 and a timing error detection unit configured to compare timing of signals to determine a relative timing offset indication to be used to adjust a transmission timing of second signal as in claim 36.

Additionally, all of the further limitations in claims 2-10, 12-20, 22-28, 35, 37-42 are allowable since the claims are dependent upon the independent claims.

Art Unit: 2666

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant has canceled claim 32 and incorporated claim 32 into claims 29 and 33 in response to office action dated 5/21/2003 indicating allowable subject matter of claims 30-32. However, after detailed examination, it is determined claims 30-32 are not allowable subject matter and, subsequently, a new grounds of rejection has been submitted. Examiner regrets any inconvenience.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Jagannathan whose telephone number is 703-305-8078. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao can be reached on 703-308-5463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Melanie Jagannathan Patent Examiner AU 2666

MJ

SEEMA S. RAO 8/22/03 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600